

Review Article

Advances in bone grafting techniques for dental implants: A comprehensive review

Krishan Kant¹, Jyoti Kshirsagar², Zameer Pasha³, Kunal Shankarrao Deshmukh⁴, Preeti Prakash Kale^{® 5}*

¹Consultant Periodontist & Oral Implantologist, Rohtak, Haryana, India

²Dermamax Medical Centre, Dubai, United Arab Emirates

³Durrat Al-Alammi Dental Clinic, Al-Majmaah, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

⁴Dept. of Public Health Dentistry, Dr.Hegdewar Smruti Rugna Seva Mandals Dental College and Hospital, Hingoli,

Maharashtra, India

⁵Dept. of Periodontolgy, Rural Dental College, Loni, Maharashtra, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 03-11-2023 Accepted 20-11-2023 Available online 02-12-2023

Keywords: Dental implants Bone grafting Guided bone regeneration Bone graft materials Regenerative therapies

A B S T R A C T

Successful implant placement is highly dependent on the quality and quantity of available bone in the implant site. In cases where patients present with inadequate bone volume, bone grafting procedures have become essential to create a suitable environment for implant integration. This comprehensive review article aims to provide a detailed overview of the latest advances in bone grafting techniques for dental implants. The review also explores different bone grafting procedures, such as guided bone regeneration (GBR), sinus lifts, and socket preservation, with an emphasis on the key principles, indications, and surgical techniques involved.

This is an Open Access (OA) journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprint@ipinnovative.com

1. Introduction

* Corresponding author.

Dental implants have become the gold standard for tooth replacement due to their durability, functionality, and aesthetic appeal. However, successful implant placement is highly dependent on the quality and quantity of available bone in the implant site.¹ In cases where patients present with inadequate bone volume, bone grafting procedures have become essential to create a suitable environment for implant integration.^{2,3}

This comprehensive review article aims to provide a detailed overview of the latest advances in bone grafting techniques for dental implants. We delve into the various types of bone graft materials, including autografts, allografts, xenografts, and synthetic bone substitutes, evaluating their advantages and limitations. Additionally, we discuss the role of growth factors and biologics in enhancing bone regeneration and accelerating the grafting process.

1.1. Types of bone graft materials in dentistry

In dentistry, several types of bone graft materials are used to augment bone volume and promote bone regeneration for various procedures, including dental implants, periodontal surgeries, and oral reconstructive surgeries. (Figure 1)⁴

These materials can be categorized into different groups based on their source and composition. Here are some common types of bone graft materials used in dentistry:

Autografts: Autografts are bone graft materials harvested from the patient's own body, typically from the patient's iliac crest (hip bone) or other sites. They are considered the gold standard for bone grafting due to their compatibility and ability to promote rapid bone healing. Autografts are

E-mail address: preetikale20jan@gmail.com (P. P. Kale).





Figure 1: Bone graft material used in dentistry

often used in more complex cases and are associated with low risk of graft rejection or infection.⁵

Allografts: Allografts are bone graft materials sourced from human donors, typically from cadavers. These grafts are processed and sterilized to remove cellular components while retaining the bone matrix. Allografts are readily available and eliminate the need for a secondary donor site, reducing patient morbidity.⁶

Xenografts: Xenografts are bone graft materials derived from non-human sources, usually bovine (cow) or porcine (pig) bone. They are processed to remove organic components, leaving behind the mineralized bone structure. Xenografts are biocompatible and are often used when a readily available bone graft material is needed.⁷

Alloplastic Materials: Alloplastic materials are synthetic bone graft substitutes that do not originate from biological sources. They include materials such as hydroxyapatite, tricalcium phosphate, and bioactive glasses. Alloplastic materials are biocompatible and can be designed to mimic the properties of natural bone.⁸

Composite Grafts: Composite grafts are a combination of different bone graft materials, often mixing autografts or allografts with synthetic or xenograft materials. These grafts aim to provide both the structural support of natural bone and the osteoinductive properties of autografts or allografts.⁹

Growth Factors and Biologics: Growth factors, such as bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs), platelet-rich plasma (PRP), and platelet-rich fibrin (PRF), are used in conjunction with bone graft materials to enhance bone regeneration. These biologics stimulate bone formation and can improve the outcomes of bone grafting procedures.

The choice of bone graft material in dentistry depends on various factors, including the patient's specific condition, the extent of bone loss, the surgeon's preference, and the desired outcome. It is important for dental professionals to consider these factors and select the most appropriate bone graft material for each individual case.

1.2. Guided bone regeneration in implant dentistry

Guided Bone Regeneration (GBR) is a surgical technique commonly employed in implant dentistry to augment and regenerate bone in areas where it is insufficient for implant placement. GBR is an essential part of implant treatment planning, as a stable and sufficient bone foundation is crucial for the long-term success of dental implants.

Purpose of GBR: GBR is primarily used to create a stable and suitable environment for dental implant placement when there is inadequate bone volume or when the available bone is compromised due to factors such as periodontal disease, trauma, or atrophy (Figure 2).¹⁰



Figure 2: Guided bone regeneration in implant dentistry

Key Components of GBR: Barrier Membrane: A barrier membrane is placed over the surgical site to protect it from soft tissue invasion and promote undisturbed bone regeneration. Membranes can be resorbable or nonresorbable, and the choice depends on the specific case and surgeon's preference. Bone Graft Material: Various types of bone graft materials, such as autografts, allografts, xenografts, and synthetic materials, are used to fill the bony defect and stimulate new bone formation. Sutures: Sutures are used to secure the barrier membrane and graft material in place.

GBR Procedure: The surgical procedure begins with an incision to access the underlying bone, followed by careful reflection of the soft tissues. The bony defect or area requiring augmentation is prepared, often involving debridement and cleaning. The bone graft material is placed in the defect area to support new bone formation. Depending on the case, this may involve bone chips, granules, or blocks. A barrier membrane is positioned over the graft material to isolate the site from the overlying soft tissues. The soft tissues are then sutured in place to ensure closure and healing.¹¹

Healing and Integration: Over the following months, bone regeneration takes place. The membrane prevents soft tissue interference while allowing new bone to form. Once adequate bone volume is achieved, the area is considered ready for dental implant placement.

1.3. Sinus lift and bone grafting technique in implant

A sinus lift, also known as a sinus augmentation or sinus elevation, is a surgical procedure commonly performed in implant dentistry to increase the bone volume in the posterior maxilla (upper jaw) when there is insufficient bone height to support dental implants in the premolar or molar areas. This procedure is often accompanied by bone grafting. (Figure 3)¹²



Figure 3: Sinus lift and bone grafting technique in implant

Assessment and Treatment Planning: The process begins with a thorough assessment of the patient's maxillary bone using dental imaging techniques like cone beam computed tomography (CBCT). This helps the dentist determine the bone quality, quantity, and proximity to the maxillary sinus. Bone graft material is then placed into the created space to augment the bone volume. This graft material can be sourced from the patient's own bone (autograft), human donor bone (allograft), animal bone (xenograft), or synthetic materials (alloplastic). The type of bone graft material used may depend on various factors, including patient preference, surgeon's expertise, and cost. The graft material is typically covered with a barrier membrane to protect it and facilitate bone regeneration. Once the bone graft has integrated and provided sufficient bone volume, dental implant placement can be considered. The timing of implant placement varies from case to case but typically occurs several months after the sinus lift and bone grafting procedure.¹³

1.4. Socket preservation in implant dentistry

Socket preservation, also known as ridge preservation or alveolar ridge preservation, is a dental procedure commonly performed in implant dentistry immediately after a tooth extraction. The primary goal of socket preservation is to maintain the shape and dimensions of the alveolar ridge (the bone that surrounds the tooth socket) following the removal of a tooth. ¹⁴ This procedure is crucial for preserving the bone volume and ensuring the success of future dental implant placement. (Figure 4)

Socket preservation is typically indicated when a tooth is extracted and the dentist or oral surgeon anticipates



Figure 4: Socket preservation in dental implant

the need for future dental implants in that location. It is commonly performed in areas with aesthetic concerns or in regions where bone resorption is expected to occur rapidly after tooth extraction. The socket is filled with bone graft material. This can be autografts (bone harvested from the patient), allografts (donor bone), xenografts (animal bone), or synthetic materials (alloplastic). In some cases, a barrier membrane may be placed over the graft material to protect it and facilitate bone regeneration. The bone graft material encourages the formation of new bone in the socket over a period of several months. The graft material is gradually replaced by the patient's own bone, and the alveolar ridge is maintained in its original dimensions. Once the socket has adequately healed and maintained its bone volume, dental implant placement can be considered.¹⁵

1.5. Future prospects and challenges in the field of bone grafting for dental implants

The field of bone grafting for dental implants continues to evolve, offering promising prospects and also facing specific challenges.

2. Future Prospects

- 1. Advancements in Biomaterials: Continued research in biomaterials is likely to lead to the development of more advanced and biocompatible graft materials. These materials may enhance bone regeneration and reduce complications associated with traditional graftin¹⁶ materials.
- 2. 3D Printing and Customization: The use of 3D printing technology to create custom bone grafts and implants is a growing area of interest. Customized grafts can improve the precision of graft placement and the integration of dental implants.
- 3. *Regenerative Therapies:* Emerging regenerative therapies, such as growth factors and gene therapy, have the potential to accelerate and enhance bone

regeneration. These therapies may become more widely used to improve grafting outcomes.

- 4. *Minimally Invasive Techniques:* Advances in minimally invasive surgical techniques may reduce patient discomfort and recovery time, making bone grafting for dental implants more accessible and convenient.¹⁷
- 5. *Digital Technologies:* The integration of digital technologies, such as computer-guided surgery and virtual planning, will continue to improve the accuracy and predictability of bone grafting procedures

3. Challenges

- 1. *Patient-Specific Variability:* Each patient's bone quality and quantity vary, making it challenging to predict and ensure the optimal grafting outcomes in every case.
- 2. *Graft Resorption:* Resorption of graft material can occur over time, potentially affecting implant stability. Research is ongoing to develop graft materials with reduced resorption rates.¹⁸
- 3. *Infection and Complications:* There is a risk of infection and other complications following bone grafting procedures, which can impact the success of dental implant placement.¹⁹
- 4. *Cost and Accessibility:* High-quality graft materials and advanced technologies can be costly, making treatment less accessible for some patients. Reducing costs while maintaining quality remains a challenge.
- 5. *Biological Compatibility:* Achieving optimal biological compatibility with graft materials is an ongoing challenge. Compatibility issues can lead to graft rejection or complications.
- 6. *Regulatory Hurdles:* Developing and implementing new graft materials, especially those derived from innovative sources, may face regulatory hurdles and require rigorous testing and approval.
- 7. *Education and Training:* Dentists and oral surgeons must continually update their knowledge and skills to keep up with the evolving field. Education and training programs need to adapt to include the latest techniques and technologies.²⁰

4. Conclusion

In summary, the field of bone grafting for dental implants holds great promise with ongoing advancements in biomaterials, regenerative therapies, and digital technologies. However, addressing the challenges related to patient variability, graft resorption, complications, cost, and regulatory requirements will be crucial for the continued growth and success of this field. Moreover, ensuring that education and training programs keep pace with these advancements will be essential for providing the best care to patients.

5. Source of Funding

None.

5.1. Conflict of Interest

None.

References

- Nasr S, Slot DE, Bahaa S, Dörfer CE, El-Sayed KM. Dental implants combined with sinus augmentation: What is the merit of bone grafting? A systematic review. J Craniomaxillofac Surg. 2016;44(10):1607–24.
- Elakkiya S, Ramesh AS, Prabhu K. Systematic analysis on the efficacy of bone enhancement methods used for success in dental implants. J Indian Prosthodont Society. 2017;17(3):219–25.
- Alkudmani H, Jasser RA, Andreana S. Is bone graft or guided bone regeneration needed when placing immediate dental implants? A systematic review. *Implant Dent.* 2017;26(6):936–80.
- Ramanauskaite A, Borges T, Almeida BL, Correia A. Dental implant outcomes in grafted sockets: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Oral Maxillofac Res. 2019;10(3):e8. doi:10.5037/jomr.2019.10308.
- Altiparmak N, Akdeniz SS, Diker N, Bayram B, Uckan S. Comparison of success rate of dental implants placed in autogenous bone graft regenerated areas and pristine bone. *J Craniofac Surg.* 2020;31(6):1572–9.
- Palacios JA, Garcia JJ, Caramês JM, Quirynen M, Marques DDS. Short implants versus bone grafting and standard-length implants placement: a systematic review. *Clin Oral Investig.* 2018;22(1):69– 80.
- Goyal S, Masood M, Le C, Rajendran Y, Nanjapa S, Vaderhobli R. Comparative Bone Graft Evaluation for Dental Implant Success: An Evidence-Based Review. J Long-Term Effects Med Imp. 2021;31(3):33–44.
- Hong DG, Oh JH. Recent advances in dental implants. *Maxillofac Plastic Reconstr Surg.* 2017;39(1):33. doi:10.1186/s40902-017-0132-2.
- Thoma DS, Bienz SP, Figuero E, Jung RE. Sanz-Martín I. Efficacy of lateral bone augmentation performed simultaneously with dental implant placement: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Periodontol.* 2019;46(21):257–76.
- Tartaglia GM, Poli PP, Connelly ST, Maiorana C, Farronato D, Taschieri S. Clinical outcome of dental implants after maxillary sinus augmentation with and without bone grafting: A retrospective evaluation. *Materials*. 2021;14(10):2479.
- Doonquah L, Holmes PJ, Ranganathan LK, Robertson H. Bone grafting for implant surgery. Oral Maxillofac Surg Clin. 2021;33(2):211–40.
- 12. Mittal Y, Jindal G, Garg S. Bone manipulation procedures in dental implants. *Indian J Dent*. 2016;7:86.
- Li J, Wang HL. Common implant-related advanced bone grafting complications: classification, etiology, and management. *Implant Dent*. 2008;17(4):389–401.
- Ladha K, Sharma A, Tiwari B, Bukya DN. Bone augmentation as an adjunct to dental implant rehabilitation in patients with diabetes mellitus: A review of literature. *National J Maxillofac Surg.* 2017;8(2):95–101.
- Mcallister BS, Haghighat K. Bone augmentation techniques. J Periodontol. 2007;78(3):377–96.
- Carvalho PDA, Trento S, Moura G, Cunha LB, Gabrielli G, Pereira-Filho MA, et al. Horizontal ridge augmentation using xenogenous bone graft-systematic review. *Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2019;23(3):271– 80.
- 17. Salgado-Peralvo AO, Mateos-Moreno MV, Ortega EV, Peña-Cardelles JF, Kewalramani N. Preventive antibiotic therapy in bone

augmentation procedures in oral implantology: A systematic review. *Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2022;123(1):74–80.

- Hämmerle CH, Tarnow D. The etiology of hard-and soft-tissue deficiencies at dental implants: A narrative review. *J Clin Periodontol*. 2018;45:267–77.
- Gill S, Prakash M, Forghany M, Vaderhobli RM. An ethical perspective to using bone grafts in dentistry. J Am Dent Assoc. 2022;153(1):88–91.
- 20. Dam VV, Trinh HA, Rokaya D, Trinh DH. Bone augmentation for implant placement: recent advances. *Int J Dent.* 2022;p. 20–2.

Author biography

Krishan Kant, Consultant

Jyoti Kshirsagar, Consultant Prosthodontist

Zameer Pasha, Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeon

Kunal Shankarrao Deshmukh, Senior Lecturer

Preeti Prakash Kale, Assistant Lecturer ⁽b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3753-4105

Cite this article: Kant K, Kshirsagar J, Pasha Z, Deshmukh KS, Kale PP. Advances in bone grafting techniques for dental implants: A comprehensive review. *IP Int J Periodontol Implantol* 2023;8(4):195-199.